

		GOAL CONTEXT What outcome is the agent pursuing?	✓ Goal: Classify FNOL claim type, assign adjuster queue, draft ack letter within 4 min.			
			Does the agent know when it has succeeded (a measurable completion criterion)? ✓ Success: triage accuracy ≥92%, cycle time <4 min, zero misrouted high-severity claims. Is the goal reviewed and updated when business priorities change? ~ Reviewed quarterly. Last update Feb 2026. Formal change process not yet documented.	YES		
					PART	
2	2	IDENTITY CONTEXT Who is the agent acting as?	Is the agent's persona and authority level explicitly defined? ✓ Agent acts as 'Claims Intake Coordinator' — read/classify/route only. No settlement authority.	YES		
			Does the agent know which team or function it represents? ✓ Represents Claims Operations. Cannot represent Legal, Finance, or Executive functions.	YES		
			Is there a human owner the agent can be attributed to in any output? ✓ All outputs attributed to 'AcmeCorp Claims Operations — AI-Assisted' with human review flag.	YES		
3	3	PERMISSION CONTEXT What is the agent allowed to read, write, spend, or send?	Are read permissions scoped to only the data the agent needs? ✓ Agent reads: claim form, policy record, claimant history. No access to financial reserves.	YES		
			Are write/send/spend actions bounded by explicit limits (e.g., max \$ per transaction)? ✓ Agent writes to routing queue only. No financial transactions. Ack letter requires human approval.	YES		
			Is there a deny-list of actions the agent must never take? ✓ Deny-list: no settlement offers, no coverage denials, no communication with legal counsel.	YES		
4	4	SYSTEM CONTEXT What tools, APIs, and data stores are available?	Is the full tool inventory documented and versioned? ✓ Tools: Guidewire ClaimCenter API v2.1, internal policy DB, routing queue API. All documented.	YES		
			Does the agent gracefully handle tool unavailability without hallucinating a result? ~ Guidewire fallback tested. Policy DB fallback not yet tested. Added to pre-launch checklist.		PART	
			Are tool credentials rotated and access logged? ✓ API keys rotated every 90 days. All access logged to SIEM with agent identity tag.	YES		
5	5	HISTORY CONTEXT What has happened in this case / customer / workflow before?	Does the agent have access to relevant prior interactions for this entity? ✓ Agent reads last 24 months of claim history for the policy holder before triage.	YES		
			Is history bounded (e.g., last 90 days) to avoid context-window overload? ✓ History window: 24 months claims, 6 months interactions. Tested at p95 context size.	YES		
			Is historical data quality-checked before being passed to the agent? ~ Quality check on completeness (>97%) but not on consistency across source systems.		PART	
6	6	POLICY CONTEXT What rules, regulations, and exception paths apply?	Are applicable regulations (GDPR, HIPAA, SOX, etc.) encoded as explicit constraints? ✓ State insurance regulations (CA, TX, NY, FL) encoded. CCPA data minimization enforced.	YES		
			Does the agent know the exception path when a rule cannot be automatically satisfied? ✓ Exception path: escalate to Senior Adjuster queue. SLA: human review within 2 hours.	YES		
			Is the policy layer versioned and auditable independently of the model? ~ Policy rules in config file (versioned in Git). Audit trail exists but not automated.		PART	
7	7	ESCALATION CONTEXT When and to whom does the agent hand off?	Is there a defined confidence threshold below which the agent must escalate? ✓ Escalate if classification confidence <85% OR claim value >\$50K OR injury claim detected.	YES		
			Is the escalation path tested — does a human actually receive and act on escalations? ✓ Escalation tested in staging. Senior Adjuster queue monitored. SLA: 2-hour response.	YES		
			Is escalation latency measured and within SLA? ~ Latency measured in staging (avg 47 min). Production SLA monitoring not yet live.		PART	
SCORE BOX AcmeCorp result: 16 Yes · 5 Partial · 0 No						